The Relevance Path: E-ToURspectives Review

Enhancement Trends or Unique Responses (ToURspectives) Review

Questions our Project Team Reviews to Identify Trends and Unique Responses among Stakeholder(s): *(Insert an additional row for each identified trend/unique response)*	Questions answered the same or similarly by multiple stakeholders: (Yes/No)	If Yes: What Specifically Did the Stakeholder(s) identify?	If Yes: Was the identified trend/Unique Response known previously? (Yes/No)	If Yes: Potential Implications of Identified Trend/Unique Response as Suggested by Stakeholders	If Yes: Potential Implications of Identified Trend/Unique Response as Reviewed by our Project Team (**Same** - if nothing to add beyond what stakeholders identified; **Different** - if implication identified is different than what stakeholders perceive, and list what our project team believes to be the implication; **Additional** - if have additional implication identified by our project team above what the stakeholders identified, and list what our project team believes to be the additional implication)	If Yes: Apparent Need? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Latent Need Revealed? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Apparent Obstacle? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Latent Obstacle Revealed? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Apparent Goal? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Latent Goal Revealed? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: # of Primary Stakeholders Identifying trend/Unique Response	If Yes: Names of Primary Stakeholders Identifying Trend/Unique Response	If Yes: # of Secondary Stakeholders Identifying trend/Unique Response	If Yes: Names of Secondary Stakeholders Identifying Trend/Unique Response	If Yes: # of Tertiary Stakeholders Identifying trend/Unique Response	If Yes: Names of Tertiary Stakeholders Identifying Trend/Unique Response	For use after Users ToUR Session(s): Users Comments on ToUR's Latent Needs/Obstacles/Goals identified (Identify who provided the comment):	For use after Users ToUR Session(s): Users Comments on ToUR's Implications identified (Identify who provided the comment):
Did Multiple Stakeholders mention the same *purpose*/mission statement for their office/group?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same information they *provide* to other groups within the organization?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same information they *receive* from other groups within the organization?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same *processes* and *tools* for communicating information across their organization?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders similarly state how *frequently* they communicate information to other groups?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same groups they communicate with?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *methods* ysed to communicate with other groups?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same *manual* processes or tools?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same *automated* processes or tools?																			
Did Multiple Stakeholdrs recommend the same *Best practice(s)*?																			
Did MultipleStakeholders mention the same office *requirement(s)*?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *Obstacle(s)*?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *bottleneck(s)*?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same "*one* thing to change immediately"?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify that "the proposed project outcome does *support* business needs"?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *reason* why proposed project outcome does support business needs?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same attribute that they like about the project outcome, that is needed to *accomplish* their business needs?																			
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *resources* necessary to be included in the project to meet project objectives?																			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to *consider* actions to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?																			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to *consider* processes to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?																			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to *consider* tools to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?																			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to *consider* technology to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?																			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify the same ideal *timeline* to complete the project?													N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A		
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify the timeline to complete the project as flexible or rigid?													N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A		
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify time as the larger *constraint*/ impact on the program?													N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A		
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify budget as the larger *constraint*/ impact on the program?													N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A		
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify the same person/entity as crafting the original project objectives?													N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A		
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify project objectives that should be *added* to the project?													N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A		
Were there any best practices that only one stakeholder identified, but merits further review?																			
Were there any obstacles that only one stakeholder identified, but merits further review?																			
Were there any responses that were in direct conflict with another response to the same question?																			
Were there any intriguing outlier responses, that one stakeholder mentioned, but deserve further investigation?																			

This artifact is referenced in Chapter 4 of *The Relevance Path: 7 Steps to Give Your Organization the Decisive Edge*. This page is the full view of the **E-ToURspectives Review**. Zoom in to get the most of this artifact. The follow pages allow you to print this on 8.5 x 11" paper for easy readability. **Want to be more relevant?** Find your **relevance** at TheRelevancePath.com.

Questions our Project Team Reviews to Identify Trends and Unique Responses among Stakeholder(s): *(Insert an additional row for each identified trend/unique response)*	Questions answered the same or similarly by multiple stakeholders: (Yes/No)	If Yes: What Specifically Did the Stakeholder(s) identify?	If Yes: Was the identified trend/Unique Response known previously? (Yes/No)	If Yes: Potential Implications of Identified Trend/Unique Response as Suggested by Stakeholders
Did Multiple Stakeholders mention the same *purpose*/mission statement for their office/group?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same information they *provide* to other groups within the organization?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same information they *receive* from other groups within the organization?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same *processes* and *tools* for communicating information across their ogranization?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders similarly state how *frequently* they communicate information to other groups?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same groups they communicate with?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *methods* ysed to communicate with other groups?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same *manual* processes or tools?				
Did Multiple Stakeholders identify the same *automated* processes or tools?				
Did Multiple Stakeholdrs recommend the same *Best practice(s)*?				
Did MultipleStakeholders mention the same office *requirement(s)*?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *Obstacle(s)*?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *bottleneck(s)*?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same "*one* thing to change immediately"?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify that "the proposed project outcome does *support* business needs"?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *reason* why proposed project outcome does support business needs?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same attribute that they like about the project outcome, that is needed to *accomplish* their business needs?				
Did Multiple stakeholders identify the same *resources* necessary to be included in the project to meet project objectives?				

Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to **consider** actions to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to **consider** processes to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to **consider** tools to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?			
Were Multiple Stakeholders open/willing to **consider** technology to enable efficiencies and meet project objectives?			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify the same ideal **timeline** to complete the project?			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify the timeline to complete the project as flexible or rigid?			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify time as the larger **constraint**/ impact on the program?			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify budget as the larger **constraint**/ impact on the program?			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify the same person/entity as crafting the original project objectives?			
Did Multiple primary stakeholders identify project objectives that should be **added** to the project?			
Were there any best practices that only one stakeholder identified, but merits further review?			
Were there any obstacles that only one stakeholder identified, but merits further review?			
Were there any responses that were in direct conflict with another response to the same question?			
Were there any intriguing outlier responses, that one stakeholder mentioned, but deserve further investigation?			

Enhancement Trends or Unique Responses (ToURspectives) Review

If Yes: Potential Implications of Identified Trend/Unique Response as Reviewed by our Project Team (**Same -** if nothing to add beyond what stakeholders identified; **Different** - if implication identified is different than what stakeholders perceive, and list what our project team believes to be the implication; **Additional** - if have additional implication identified by our project team above what the stakeholders identified, and list what our project team believes to be the additional implication)	If Yes: Apparent Need? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Latent Need Revealed? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Apparent Obstacle? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Latent Obstacle Revealed? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Apparent Goal? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: Latent Goal Revealed? (Mark "X" if yes, leave blank if no)	If Yes: # of Primary Stakeholders Identifying trend/Unique Response	If Yes: Names of Primary Stakeholders Identifying Trend/Unique Response	If Yes: # of Secondary Stakeholders Identifying trend/Unique Response	If Yes: Names of Secondary Stakeholders Identifying Trend/Unique Response

									N/A	N/A
									N/A	N/A
									N/A	N/A
									N/A	N/A
									N/A	N/A
									N/A	N/A

If Yes: # of Tertiary Stakeholders Identifying trend/Unique Response	If Yes: Names of Tertiary Stakeholders Identifying Trend/Unique Response	For use after Users ToUR Session(s): Users Comments on ToUR's Latent Needs/Obstacles/Goals identified (Identify who provided the comment):	For use after Users ToUR Session(s): Users Comments on ToUR's Implications identified (Identify who provided the comment):

N/A	N/A		
N/A	N/A		
N/A	N/A		
N/A	N/A		
N/A	N/A		
N/A	N/A		